

*[Handwritten signature]*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION

**RECEIVED**

JUN 03 2015  
6-3-15  
THOMAS G. BRUTON  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

PAUL D. TAYLOR

(Enter above the full name  
of the plaintiff or plaintiffs in  
this action)

15cv4910

Judge Robert W. Gettleman  
Magistrate Judge Jeffrey Cole  
PC7

vs.

Case  
(To

JUSTICE POLICE OFFICERS);  
et al., DEFENDANTS);

(Enter above the full name of ALL  
defendants in this action. Do not  
use "et al.")

**CHECK ONE ONLY:**

☒

COMPLAINT UNDER THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT, TITLE 42 SECTION 1983  
U.S. Code (state, county, or municipal defendants)

☐

COMPLAINT UNDER THE CONSTITUTION ("BIVENS" ACTION), TITLE  
28 SECTION 1331 U.S. Code (federal defendants)

☐

OTHER (cite statute, if known)

**BEFORE FILLING OUT THIS COMPLAINT, PLEASE REFER TO "INSTRUCTIONS FOR  
FILING." FOLLOW THESE INSTRUCTIONS CAREFULLY.**

**I. Plaintiff(s):**

- A. Name: PAUL DONNELL TAYLOR;
- B. List all aliases: NONE
- C. Prisoner identification number: N72159 / Now 20140724284
- D. Place of present confinement: COOK COUNTY DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS
- E. Address: 2700 So CALIFORNIA, AVE., CHICAGO, ILL 60608

(If there is more than one plaintiff, then each plaintiff must list his or her name, aliases, I.D. number, place of confinement, and current address according to the above format on a separate sheet of paper.)

**II. Defendant(s):**

(In A below, place the full name of the first defendant in the first blank, his or her official position in the second blank, and his or her place of employment in the third blank. Space for two additional defendants is provided in B and C.)

- A. Defendant: MR. MURPHY  
 Title: JUSTICE POLICE OFFICER # 223  
 Place of Employment: JUSTICE POLICE DEPARTMENT
- B. Defendant: JOHN DOW # 1  
 Title: POLICE OFFICER;  
 Place of Employment: JUSTICE POLICE DEPARTMENT
- C. Defendant: JOHN DOW # 2  
 Title: SERGEANT OF JUSTICE POLICE DEPARTMENT  
 Place of Employment: JUSTICE POLICE DEPARTMENT

(If you have more than three defendants, then all additional defendants must be listed according to the above format on a separate sheet of paper.)

III. List ALL lawsuits you (and your co-plaintiffs, if any) have filed in any state or federal court in the United States:

- A. Name of case and docket number: 14C 7574 EDWIN BURNETT et, al;  
14C 10517 TAYLOR vs CHRISTOPH STARK #4728  
DECEMBER 30 or 31st 2014
- B. Approximate date of filing lawsuit: SEPTEMBER 26th 2014
- C. List all plaintiffs (if you had co-plaintiffs), including any aliases: NONE  
PAUL DONNELL TAYLOR; PAUL DONNELL TAYLOR;  
PAUL DONNELL TAYLOR; PAUL DONNELL TAYLOR
- D. List all defendants: MRS. BRET MORRAN BALMAN; VICTOR ERBING  
MR. BARRANTON BAKER; MRS. CANDACE COLLINS; MR. Joseph  
Simmons; MRS. CRISTAL CABELLAS; CHRIST GERKE; MIKE MORRIS;  
NEBBIE WHITE; CRISTAL KELLY; 40517 STARK et, al; DANIAL MURPHY;  
15C3728 COOK COUNTY SHERIFFS CHUBBS; SOTO; ZACCONE; KASPERSKI;
- E. Court in which the lawsuit was filed (if federal court, name the district; if state court, name the county): DISMISSED FOR NOT BASINKA CLAIM CORRELATION.
- F. Name of judge to whom case was assigned: THE HONORABLE JAMES ZAGEL  
14C 7574 HONORABLE JUDGE SHAH Nov 14C10517
- G. Basic claim made: 14C 7574 CIVIL CONSPIRACY (14CV 10517)  
NEGLECT WHILE IN CUSTODY; COOK COUNTY SHERIFFS 15C3728
- H. Disposition of this case (for example: Was the case dismissed? Was it appealed? Is it still pending?): 14C10517 MARCH 2, 2015  
MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION / MOTION: LEAVE  
AMENDED DISMISSAL. APRIL 21st or 27th? 14C 7574
- I. Approximate date of disposition: MARCH 2nd, 2015 14C10517  
MAY 21st 2015 MOTION: LEAVE TO AMEND DISMISSAL

IF YOU HAVE FILED MORE THAN ONE LAWSUIT, THEN YOU MUST DESCRIBE THE ADDITIONAL LAWSUITS ON ANOTHER PIECE OF PAPER, USING THIS SAME FORMAT. REGARDLESS OF HOW MANY CASES YOU HAVE PREVIOUSLY FILED, YOU WILL NOT BE EXCUSED FROM FILLING OUT THIS SECTION COMPLETELY, AND FAILURE TO DO SO MAY RESULT IN DISMISSAL OF YOUR CASE. CO-PLAINTIFFS MUST ALSO LIST ALL CASES THEY HAVE FILED.



IV. Statement of Claim: **FALSE IMPRISONMENT:**

State here as briefly as possible the facts of your case. Describe how each defendant is involved, including names, dates, and places. Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to allege a number of related claims, number and set forth each claim in a separate paragraph. (Use as much space as you need. Attach extra sheets if necessary.)

ON JULY 7th 2014 PLAINTIFF TAYLOR, PAUL DONNELL, WAS FOUND INNOCENT OF THE STATE LAW UNLAWFUL USE OF RECORDED SOUND/SELL. THE PLAINTIFF SENT APPROXIMATELY TWO-2 HOURS IN JUSTICE POLICE STATION; WARRANT DAYS BEFORE CLEARING IT. THE DEFENDANT MURPHY #223. ARREST PLAINTIFF, ON 8000 S 88th AVE JUSTICE, ILL CASE No 12500274501 THIS WILL INCLUDE 1VE-HEADLIGHT/TAIL LIGHT/SIDE LIGHT(1 & 2) ALL THIS WAS NOT TRUE DISPOSITION DATE 07/07/14 (THIS WAS IN FACT FALSE CHARGES) THE UNLAWFULLY DETAINED SEARCH; SEIZURE OF PROPERTY IS IN VIOLATION OF PLAINTIFF; RIGHT TO BE FREE OF UNREASONABLE SEARCHES; SEIZURE OF PROPERTY BY THE 4th & 14th DUE PROCESS OF LAW) TO THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE BY THE 14th AMENDMENT SECTION I TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION OF AMERICA. MOVE OVER.



## IV. Statement of Claim:

State here as briefly as possible the facts of your case. Describe how each defendant is involved, including names, dates, and places. Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to allege a number of related claims, number and set forth each claim in a separate paragraph. (Use as much space as you need. Attach extra sheets if necessary.)

THAT, THE DEFENDANT MURPHY #223 UNKNOWN OFFICERS, & THE OFFICERS, WHOM APPROVED THE POLICE ARREST REPORT; KNOW PLAINTIFF WAS IN FACT INNOCENT OF ALL CHARGES OF NO TAIL LIGHT; WHEN THE VIDEO CAMERA, PER-POLICE CAR, SHOWN THAT THE TAIL LIGHT HAD NOT BEEN OUT, BUSTED, DAMAGED, NOT WORKING. THE FACT THAT THE LIGHT WAS WORKING. THE DEFENDANTS; DID SHOW THAT THEY ALL WILLFULLY, INTENTIONALLY, MALICIOUSLY, WITH MALICE, INTENTION TO SPADICELY, WANTON, TO FALSIFY AN ARREST CAUSING THE PLAINTIFF INJURIES: (1) A DIRECT WILLFULL DEPRIVATION OF PLAINTIFF, 4<sup>th</sup> AMENDMENT RIGHTS TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION OF AMERICA. THE ILLUSTRATION OF THE 4<sup>th</sup> AMENDMENT THE RIGHT OF THE PEOPLE TO BE SECURE IN THEIR PERSONS, HOUSE, PAPERS, AND EFFECTS, AGAINST UNREASONABLE SEARCH SEIZURES, (SHALL NOT) BE VIOLATED; NO WARRANTS SHALL ISSUE, BUT UPON PROBABLE CAUSE, SUPPORT BY OATH OR -



AFFIRMATION, AND PARTICULARLY DESCRIBING THE PLACE TO BE SEARCHED, AND THE PERSONS OR THINGS TO BE SEIZED. THE PRISON LITIGATION ACT REQUEST THAT I BRING A DUE PROCESS CLAUSE VIOLATION BECAUSE I'm (A PRE-TRIAL DETAINEE) THE PLAINTIFF DUE PROCESS RIGHTS WAS VIOLATE BY THE 14th AMENDMENT THAT STATES SECTION (I) ALL PERSONS BORN OR NATURALIZED IN THE UNITED STATES, AND SUBJECT TO THE JURISDICTION THEREOF, ARE CITIZENS OF THE UNITED STATES AND OF THE STATE WHEREIN THEY RESIDE. NO ~~STATE~~ SHALL MAKE OR ENFORCE ANY LAW WHICH SHALL ABRIDGE THE PRIVILEGES OR IMMUNITIES OF CITIZENS OF THE UNITED STATES; NOR SHALL ANY STATE DEPRIVE ANY PERSONS OF LIFE, LIBERTY, OR PROPERTY; WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW; NOR DENY TO ANY PERSONS WITHIN ITS JURISDICTION THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS. (THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE) THE CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISION THAT PROHIBITS THE GOVERNMENT FROM UNFAIRLY OR ARBITRARILY DEPRIVE A PERSON LIFE, LIBERTY, OR PROPERTY. THERE ARE TWO DUE PROCESS CLAUSE IN THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, ONE IN THE 5th AMENDMENT APPLYING TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, AND ONE IN THE 14th AMENDMENT APPLY TO STATES ALTHOUGH THE 5th AMENDMENT (DUE PROCESS CLAUSE) ALSO APPLY TO THE STATES UNDER THE INCORPORATION DOCTRINE.



THE DEFENDANTS; ALL DUE THE PLAINTIFF A DUTY.  
 THEIR DUTIES, IS AS A PUBLIC SERVICE TO THE PUBLIC.  
 TO BE HONESTY; SERVE & PROTECT THE RIGHTS, OF  
 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATES WHEREIN. THE DEFENDENTS,  
 WILLFULLY; INTENTIONALLY; PURPOSEFULLY; MALICIOUSLY;  
 MALICELY, SADISTICALLY; WANTON; DEPRIVING THE  
 PLAINTIFF, HIS RIGHTS BY THE 14th AMENDMENT  
 (DUE PROCESS CLAUSE) TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTIT-  
 -UTION TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION OF A  
 AMERICA. BY AND FOR THE DISREGARDS FOR  
 THEIR DUTIES, THE PLAINTIFF SUFFERED INJURIES;  
 PLAINTIFF, SUFFERED HUMILIATION; EMBARRASSMENT;  
 DEPRIVED LIBERTY; WITHOUT A DUE PROCESS OF LAW.  
 PROPERTY AT A VALUE OF NO MORE THAN 10,000  
 THOUSAND DOLLARS, NO LESS THAN 7,500 SEVEN THOUSAND  
 FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS; IN CD'S COLLECTIONS. THE PLAINTIFF  
 SEEKS; 15,000 FOR HIS COLLECTIONS NEVER RECOVERED BACK  
 A SUM OF 15,000 FIFTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS, IN  
 COMPENSATORY DAMAGES / THAT; THE HONORABLE  
 COURT HAS JURISDICTION AND VENUE FOR THE  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT EASTERN DIVISION TO ALL MATTERS  
 PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C. 1983 FOR, MY BRINGING  
 REMEDY VIOLATIONS OF MY (DUE PROCESS CLAUSE) BY  
 THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT OF THE UNITED STATES  
 CONSTITUTION UNDER COLOR OF LAW. THIS HONORABLE COURT  
 ALSO HAS JURISDICTION OVER THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO -



28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 AND 1343(a)(3) AND PURSUANT  
 TO SUPPLEMENT JURISDICTION OVER PROVIDED  
 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) IN THAT THE EVENTS GIVING TO  
 CLAIMS HEREIN OCCURRED IN THE NORTH DISTRICT OF  
 ILLINOIS AS DIRECT AND APPROXIMATELY RESULT OF  
 ACTION OR INACTION OF OFFICERS OF THE LAW.  
 THE PLAINTIFF SUFFERED HARM, INCLUDING BUT  
 LIMITATION TO THE RECKLESSLY; DISREGARDS FOR  
 PLAINTIFF 14<sup>th</sup> AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS CLAUSE, LIBERTY  
 INTEREST WITHOUT DUE PROCESS CLAUSE, PROPERTY, TO THE  
 UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION OF AMERICA. DEFENDANTS,  
 ACTIONS WERE TAKEN MALICIOUSLY; INTENTIONALLY;  
 OR WITH GROSS NEGLIGENCE, AND RECKLESSLY, WANTON,  
 THEREBY JUSTIFYING AN AWARD OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES;  
 IN A SUM OF A FAIRNESS AND REASONABLE AMOUNT  
 100,000 THOUSAND DOLLARS, ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND  
 DOLLARS; FOR DAYS SPENT IN A HOSTAL IN VIROMENT  
 COOK COUNTY FOR APPROXIMATELY ONE MONTH; AND  
 THE FALSE ARREST, DEFAMATION OF MY CHARACTER,  
 (DECLARATORY JUDGMENT) STATING THAT MY 14<sup>th</sup> AMEND-  
 -MENT DUE PROCESS CLAUSE WAS IN FACT VIOLATED, AND  
 THE RESIGNATION OF ALL PARTIES / DEFENDANTS.  
 THEREFORE IT IS SO ORDERED THAT; THE PLAINTIFF  
 RESTORE HIS RIGHTS AND EXONORATE / VACATE;  
 EXPONEMENT OF THE # M125002745 UNAUTHOR-  
 -IZED RECORDING AND / SOUNDS OFF HIS CRIMINAL RECORD

Reviewed: 8/2013



## V. Relief:

State briefly exactly what you want the court to do for you. Make no legal arguments. Cite no cases or statutes.

A) ~~FOR THE PUNITIVE ACTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS~~ <sup>MURPHY #223</sup>  
~~JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY 100,000 DOLLARS ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND~~ <sup>DECEMBER #9686</sup>  
~~DOLLARS, IN PUNITIVE DAMAGES / COMPENSATORY DAMAGES~~  
~~FOR THE HUMILIATION, EMBARRASSMENT, DEPRIVATION OF DUE PROCESS,~~  
~~LIBERTY INTEREST, WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW, AND DAYS CALCULATED~~  
~~BY WARRANT, THE REGISTRATION OF THE PARTIES. DEFENDANTS TOOK OVER 500~~  
~~DVD'S ESTIMATE VALUE OF 5 DOLLARS A PIECE~~

VI. The plaintiff demands that the case be tried by a jury. ☒ YES ☐ NO

B) PLAINTIFF REQUEST RESPECTFULLY A SUM ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS  
 JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY FOR THE <sup>CERTIFICATION</sup> ACTIONS THAT CONSTITUTE'S PUNITIVE.

By signing this Complaint, I certify that the facts stated in this Complaint are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that if this certification is not correct, I may be subject to sanctions by the Court.

Signed this ~~MAY~~ day of 28, 2015

~~PAUL DONNELL TAYLOR~~  
~~Paul D. Taylor~~  
 (Signature of plaintiff or plaintiffs)

(Print name)

(I.D. Number)

20140724284  
 COOK COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS  
 2700 So CALIFORNIA AVE, DIV. 1-D  
 CHICAGO, IL 60608

(Address)

RESPECTFULLY THE  
 PLAINTIFF REQUEST A SUM  
 100,000 THOUSAND DOLLARS  
 JOINTED AND SEVERALLY  
 FOR THE ACTIONS OF  
 THE DEFENDANTS THAT  
 CONSTITUTE'S PUNITIVE  
 THE PLAINTIFF REQUEST  
 PUNITIVE DAMAGES.